



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,833	07/20/2006	Roman J. Giger	20724-011US1	3920
26167	7590	11/20/2008	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022			WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1647		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		11/20/2008		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/551,833	GIGER, ROMAN J.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) Cherie M. Woodward. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Tina McKeon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 November 2008

**Time:** 11:20am EST

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Objection to specification and drawings.*

**Claims discussed:**

N/A

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative McKeon regarding the drawings and specification amendments related to Figure 1B-1, which is recited in the specification as Figure 1C. There is no Figure 1C in the drawings, but there is Figure 1B-1, which follows Figure 1B. The examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment which will require replacement drawing sheets to amend the label of Figure 1B-1 to Figure 1C, so that the drawings and specification are in agreement and the case can be passed to issue. The examiner also proposed to delete the word "novel" from the title of the invention.